

The difference betwene the Church of Rome that now is,

decreta, doe ioyne together in one forme and order, both Archibishop and Metropolitan, and aboue them both do place the Patriarch, and aboue the Patriarch, the Apostolical seat to wit the Bishop of Rome, as may appere in reading the first Epistle of Clement. In illis autem civitatis &c, the second Epistle of Anacletus. Art. 4. prouincie dist. 99 prouincie multo, and the Epistle of Anicetus art. 23. dist. 99 cap. Nulli Archiepiscopi. Also the Epistle of Pope Stephen the first, Art. 5. (where note by the way, that Gratiatus referreth this place of the Epistle to Pope Lucius.) Item the Epistle of Pope Felix the second, Art. 12. in which all soe-said Epistles, this order and difference of degrees is taken, that the iust and principall place is gien to Primats or Patriarches, the second to Metropolitans or Archbishops, the third to Bishops, and finally above all these is extoll'd the Apostolical seat of the Bishop of Rome; contrary to all that before hath bene alledged out of Iustinian, the Council of Nicæa & of Antioch, &c. Whereby it may appere that either Iustinian in pescring Archbishops above Metropolitans, did not read these Epistles decreta, if they were bayned; or if they were forged, they which forged the said Epistles in their names, did not wel aduse that Iustinian had written in this matter before.

Thus then these titles above recited, as Bishop, Metropolitane, Bishop of the fysl seat, Primate, Patriarche, Archibishop, Chiefe Byshop, or headbishop to other Bishoppes of his prouince, we deny not but were in the olde tyme applied, and myght be applied to the Bishoppe of Rome lyke as the same also were appyed to other Patriarches in other chiefe Cities and prouynces.

As touching the name like wise of the high Priest, or hyghpriesthood, neither doe I denye but that it hath bene found in old monuments and recordes of auncient tyme; but in such wise and soye, as it hath bee common to Bishops indifferently, and not singularly attributed to any one Bishoppe or sea. Whereof testimony we have out of the viij. generall Councell. dist. 38. cap. Omnes, where the Bishoppes office is called Summum sacerdotium, the hygh Priesthood, in these wordes: Substantia summi sacerdotii nostri sunt eloquia diuinitus tradita. i. vera diuinarum Scripturarum disciplina. &c. That is, the substance (say they) of our hygh Priesthood is the word of discipline of holy scriptures geuen vs from above. &c.

And likewise the Councell of Agath, maketh relation De pontificibus in sumo sacerdotio constitutis, of Bishops set in the high Priesthood, meanyng not of any one, but indifferently & indifferently of whomsoeuer. 12. q. 3. cap. Pontifices. Also Fabianus Bishop of Rome, an. 240. writing in general to his brethen, and all Bishoppes and Ministers Ecclesiastical doth attribute to them the same title of Summum sacerdotium, in these wordes: Deo ergo, fratres, qui præordinavit vos, & omnes qui summo accessu reguntur. &c. Wo which hath preordayned you brethen, and all them which bear the office of high priesthood. 3. q. 1. cap. Deus ergo. With like phare of speche, Anacletus also in his second Epistle, speaking of Bishops in general, calleth them Summos sacerdotes: vnde, inquit, liquet quod summi sacerdotes. i. Episcopi a Deo sunt iudicandi. &c. The high priest, that is, Bishops, saith he. And moreover in the same place calleth the Apostles, and successours of the Apostles. &c. So doth Innocentius the first, an. 405. as appeareth dist. 61. cap. Misericordum. Item Zosimus Bishop of the sayd City of Rome, an. 420, as witnesseth dist. 59. cap. 1. who speakest de summo sacerdotio, that is, of high priesthood, not only of y church of Rome, but of all other churches. Vrbanus the first was Bishop of Rome, an. 226. who in his writings alleged by Gracian, referreth the name & place Summi pontificis, of the hygh Bishop, not only to the seate of Rome, but universall to every Bishop, as appeareth in the wordes of the dist. 51. cap. Si officia. &c.

And thus much as touching the name or title of high Priest, or supreme Bishop. which title as I doe not deny to haue bene vsed in maner & forme aforesayed: so do I denye this title and style of Summus orbis pontifex, as it is now vsed in Rome, to haue bene vsed, or usually receaved during all the primitive tyme of the Church, that is v. hundred years after Christ, after the manner and soyt I mean of that authoritie and glory, which in these dayes nowe is vsed and is gien to the laige, until the time of Phocas, the wicked Emperor, which was after the yeare of the Lord 608. The which title as it is to gloriouse for any one Bishop in y church of Christ to use: so is it not to be found in any of the approved and most auncient writers of y church namely these, as Cyprianus, Baflius, Fulgentius, Chrysostomus Hieronymus, Ambrofius, Augustinus, Tertullianus, but rather writeh against the same, especially of the last. And therfore

not without cause it is written and testifid of Erasmus, who speaking of the sayd name, of Summus orbis pontifex, denieth plainly the same to be hearde of among the olde writers, whose wordes be these: Certe nomen hoc nonnum illis temporibus erat auditum, quantum ex veterum omnium scriptis licet colligere. Scilicet Epist. 3. Epist. 1. art. 3. 7. &c. as whichever readeþ the same authours shall finde to be true.

The like is to be affirmed also of other presumptuous titles of like ambition, as the head of the uniuersal church, the Vicar of Christ in earth, Prince of Prelates, with such like, which all be new found termes, straunge to the cares of the old primitive writers and Councils, and not recued openly and commonly before the tyme of Bonifice the third, and Phocas the aforesayed.

Now remaineth the name of the Pope, which of his nature, and by his first origine, being a word of the Hygian speech called πάπας, and signifieth as much as Pater, Father, was then vsed and frequented of them in the old tyme, not so as proper onely to the Bishop of Rome, but common and indifferent to all other Bishoppes or personages, whosoever were of worthy excellencie, as is partly before declared. But nowe concretly, the generallitye of this name is so restrained and abuised, that not onely it is appropriate to the Bishop of Rome, but also distinguisht and disceuered the authoritie and preuidence of that Bishop alone from all other Bishoppes, for which caule it is nowe worthely come into contempt and execration. No less is to be reiecte also the name of vniuersalis, or ecumenicus, pontifex, Summus orbis Episcopus. Caput vniuersalis Ecclesie, Christi in terris, Princeps vicarii sacerdotum, &c. All which termes and vocables, tending to the derogation of other Bishoppes & Patriarches, as they were never receaved nor allowed in Rome (if we beleue Gregory) during the tyme of Romatine church, so nowe are worthely of vs refuted.

Although it cannot be denied, but certaine were in the primitive tyme, which began priuately to pretende that prouide and wicked title of vniuersall Bishop, as Menno, and especially Ioannes Patriarche of Constantiopolis, who calling a Councell at Constantiopolis, went about to establish and ratifie and to dignifie his thron by the consent of the Councell, and the Emperour of Constantiopolis, and obtained the same as appeareth in the viij. generall Councell of Constantiopolis the 2. where both Menno is named Oichumenicus Patriarcharum and also Ioannes in the sayd Councell is titled Oicumenicus Patriarcha: ex Concil. general. 5. cap. Domino. Concerning the which title although it was then vsed to Constantiopolis through the sufferance of the Emperour, beinge then willing to haue their imperial City aduanted: yet notwithstanding this forsayde title, all this while was not in the City of Rome. And in Constantiopolis it stode not then in force: lute aliquo divino, but onely by mans lawe. And thidly it was then but onely, verbalis titulus: haung no true domination vpon all other Churches, or any real subjection belonging to the same. So alsmach as neither y Bishop of Rome, nor any of the west churches were subiect or did acknowledge seruice vnto them but rather did repugne the same: namely Pelagius the 1. & Gregorius the 1. both Bishops at that tyme of Rome, whiche Pelagius writing to all Bishoppes, saith playnly in these wordes: that no Patriarch shoulde take the name of vniuersalitie at any tyme, because that if any be called vniuersall, the name of Patriarch is derogate from all other. But let this be farre, saith he, from all faultfull men, to will to take that thing to him, whiche the honor of his brethen is diminished. Wherefore y sayd Pelagius chargeth all such Bishoppes, that none of them in their letters will name any Patriarche to be vniuersall, least he take from him selfe the honour due to him, while they give that which is not due to another. What can be more evident then these wordes of Pelagius, who was Bishop of Rome next before Gregory. an. 583? In lyke maner or more plainlye, and more earnestly wrytten also Gregory of this matter in his register, prouyng and disputing that no man ought to be called vniuersall Bishop. Moreover with sharpe wordes and rebukes detesteth the same title, calling it new, ffolish, prouide, peruerse, wicked, prophane, and such, as to conseint vnto it, is as much, as to denye the sauyth. He addeth further and laith, that whosoever goeth about to extoll himselfe aboue other Bishoppes, in so doing followeth the fact of Sarvan, to whos it was not sufficient to be counted equall to like vnto other angells. In his Epistles how oft doth he repeate, and declare y same to reueyne directly against the Bispell, and auncient doctrees of Counsels: affirming that none of his predecessoris did ever usurpe to himselfe that style or title, and concludeþ that whosoever so doth, declarreth himselfe to be a forerunner of Antichrist. &c. With this judgement of Gregory wel agreeþ

Ex Erasm.
Epist. lib. 3.
Epist. 1.
art. 73.

Vniuersalis
pontifex.
Caput vni-
uersalis Ec-
clesie, Chris-
tis in terra
Ecclesias.
Princeps sa-
cerdotum. Cœ-

Papa.

Howþey
began first
at Constan-
tinople, to
take the
name of v-
niuersall
Bishop.
Ex quisita
Synodo En-
tiuersali.

Actio-
prima. cap.
pop. consu-
latum.
Ibid. cap.
dom. mofro.

En Pelagi.
20. dyp. cap.
pp. Natura.

Gregory ac-
gaint the
vniuersality
of the Bi-
shop of Ce-
stantiopolis.

Clement Epist. 1.
Anaclet. Epist. 2.
art. 4.
Anicetus. Epist.
art. 2.
Diffinit. 39.
Cap. Nulli Ar-
chiescopi.
Stepha. Epist.
art. 5.

Repugnaunce
betwene the co-
stitutions of Lu-
stinius, and the
Epistles decre-
tall.

Bishop Metro-
politane Bishop
of the first sea.
Primate, Patri-
arche,
Archibishop,
Chiefe Byshop
terms vied in
the primitive
time of the
Church.
High Priest or
high Priest-
hood.

Diff. 83. cap.
omnes Summus
sacerdos, Sum-
mus sacerdoti-
um.

Ex concilio A-
postolico 12. q.
3. cap. Ponti-
fici.

3. q. 1. cap. Deus.
ergo.

Ex Anaclet.
Epist. 2. 2. q. 7.
cap. Accusatio.
Bishops called
the successours
of the Apostles.

Diff. 61. c. mis-
fam.

Diff. 59. cap. 1.

Ex Vrba r.
Diff. 5. cap.
Se officia.

Summus orbis
pontifex.