

The difference betwene the Church of Rome that now is,

gra naturalia, & nothing at all to faith, when as faith one-
ly next vnder God, is the true and fountain of all well
doxyng: as in the frutes of a good tree, albeit the planter or
the husbandman be the principal agt thereto, and some
causie also may be in y god ground, yet the next and immediate
causie is the roote that maketh the tree fruitfull. In
like manner the grace of God, in a loyall reperautant mollifi-
ed hart planter the gist of sayth. Sayth as a good roote
can not lyze dead or diuocied, but spryngeth forth and
maketh vorth the tree fruitfull, and also the tree therof to
be god, which other wise had no acceptacio nor goodness in
them, were it not for the goodness of the roote from whence
they spring. So Paule although he had certeine workes
in him such as they were, before his conuersion, yet had
he no god workes before the grace of Christ had rooted
sayth in him. So Mary Magdelene the sinner, and Ia-
chaeus the Publicane. So all the nations of the Gentiles
began to lyzyng fordsfute, and especially god fruit, when
they began to bee ingrafted in Christ, and to receave the
roote of hys sayth, whose frutes before that were all dam-
nable and vnsavory. As touching the cause therfore of
god workes, there is no other in man but sayth, whose
office as it is to iustifie vs in heaven so the nature of it is
here in earth to worke by loue, as the roote worketh by
the sappe. For as a man seeth and feleth by sayth, the loue
and grace of God toward him in Christ his sonne: so be-
gynnyng he to loue agayne both God and man, and to doe
for his neyghbour as God hath done to him. And hereof
properly spryngeth the ryuyng fountaine of al god workes
and deedes of charite.

Thirdly, as they erre in the cause of god workes, so do
they erre much more in the ende of the lawe, and of god
workes: for where Saint Paule teacheth the lawe to be
gyuen to vs vnde to vnde, to couiuit our transgresions,
to proue vs lymmers, to shew and condemne our infirmi-
tie, and to lyze vs to Christ: they take and appoynt no o-
ther ende to the lawe, but to make vs perfect, to keepe vs
from wrath, and to make vs iust before God. And likewise
where Saint Paule poudreth al our god workes to be un-
perfect, and vterly lechideh them from the ende of Justi-
fyng, they contrariwise doe reache, as though the ende of
gov workes were to merite remission of syynes, to sati-
fyng unto God, to deserue grace, to redeme soules from
Purgatory, and that by them the person of the regenerate
man doth please God, and is made iust before God. For so
they teach most wickedly and horribly, saying. That chyld
suffered for original sinne, or synes going before Bap-
tisme, but the actual synes, which followe after Baptisme,
must be done away by mens merites. And so they as-
signe to Christ the begynning of saluation, or obtemperacion
of the syre grace (as they call it) but the perfection or
compliation of gracie, they gyue to workes & our owne strenght.
Sainct Paule can they in any case adive, that we be iustified free-
ly by the mercy of God through sayth onely apprehending
the merites of Christ. Howbeit neyther doe all Papistes
in this their errore agree in one. For some make distinc-
tion and say: that we are iustified by Christ principaliter, i.
principally, & minus principaliter i. lesse principally, by the
dignite of our owne deedes, contrary to the eight princi-
plic before mentioned, page. 24. Other holde that we are
made ryghteous before God, not by workes that go before
sayth: but by our vertues that follow after. Some againe
do thus exponde sayng of Saint Paule: We are iustified
by faith: y is (say they) by sayth, preparing vs or setting vs
in a god way to be iustified. Other exponde by y figure
Snedcoche, that is, by faith comyng together with other
vertues. Other thus: by faith, that is, being formed with
charytic, &c. Thus all theire doe derogate from the benefite
of Christ, and attribute vnto workes a great or the greatest
part of our iustification, directly against the true vaine of
Saint Paules doctrine and first instencion of the amci-
ene Church of Rome, and against all the principles of ho-
ly Scripture.

The 4. error of
the Papistes
touching the
imperfection of
man in satisfying
the perfection
of the law.

Agaynst the
principles of
Scripture.

perfect men, as matter for them to merite by, and these
they call opera perfectionis, or opera indebita, adding also to
theire newe deuiles to iuste God after their owne traditi-
ons besides the worde of God, as Monastical vovres,
wylfull poverty, difference of meates and garmentes, pil-
grimage to reliques and Saints, worshyping of y dead,
superstitious ceremonies, vtolaries, &c. With such like, and
these they call workes of perfection, which they preferre
before the other commaunded in the lawe of God. In so
much that in comparison of these, the other necessary du-
(as to beare office in the common wealth, to live in y god-
ly state of matrimony, to sustaine the office of a servant in
a house) is contemned, and accounted as prophane in co-
parison of these, contrary to the 10. principle aboue men-
tioned, pag. 24.

Preceptes
and Coun-
sayles.

Works of
supererogation.
Mens traditio-
nations pre-
ferred be-
fore the
workes of
Gods law.

Agaynst the
principle of
Scripture.

* Of Sinne.

Of Sinne likewise they teach not rightly, nor after the
stitution of the Apoltes, and the amciene Church
of Rome, while they consider not the deepnes and large-
nes of sinne, supposing still to be nothing els but inward
actions with consent of will, or outward, such as are a-
gainst will: whereas the strength of sinne extendeth not
only to these, but also comprehendeth the blidness and
ignorance of the minde, lacke of knowledge and true feare
of God, the vntowardnes of mans minde to Godwardes,
the priuy rebellion of the hart against the lawe of God, the
vndeliting will of man to God and his worde. The serfe
of flesh S. Paule also calleth an enimie against God, and
teleth in hys selfe, that is, in his fleshe nothing dwelinge
but sinne.

Erroneous
doctrine of
the latter
Church of
Rome con-
cerning sin.

As touching also originall sinne, wherin we are borne
which is the destruction of original iustice, and of gods Im-
age in vs (remayning in vs and bringing forth in vs
wicked cogitationes, affections, and motions of naughti-
nes, against the lawe of God, and never ceaeth so long as
man liveth) this originall sinne the Popes doctrine doth
not deny, but yet doth much extenuate the same and hol-
deth that this inward concupiscencia & vicious affections
not brasting out in vs with consent of will, are no mortall
nor damnable sinne, but only somes peccati: And say more-
over, that this concupiscencia in vs, is no deprauation of
the higher, but onely of the lower partes of man, beynge as
a thing adipecyon, indifferent, and no lesse naturall in vs
then is the appetite to eate and drinke, and that the same
is left to remaine in the Sainctes after Baptisme, to be to
them occasion of more meriting, &c.

Originall
sinne what
it is.

Fomes pec-
catis.
Concupi-
scencia.

Originall
sinne ext-
uated.

* Of Pauenace, or Repentaunce.

Of Pauenace, this latter Latterane Church of Rome,
of late hath made a Sacrament (contrary to the fourth
principle before pag. 24.) which penauince say they, stan-
deth of thre parties. Contrition, Confession, and Sat-
isfaction canonically. Contrition (as they teach) may be had
by strength of free will, without the lawe, and the holy
Ghost per actus elicitos, through mans owne action and
endeavour. Whiche contrition it self must be sufficient, and so
it meritech remission of sinne. In confession, they require a
full rehearall of all synes, whereby the Priest knowing
the crynes, may minister satisfaction accordingly. And
this rehearall of synes, ex opero operato deleretur remis-
sion, contrary to 14. principic before, pag. 24. Satisfaction
they call opera indebita, enjoyed by the ghostly father.
And this satisfaction (say they) taketh away, and chaun-
geth eternall punishment, into temporall paynes, which
paines also it doth mitigate. And againe, these satisfac-
tions may be taken away by the Popes indulgence, &c.

False do-
ctrine of the
latter
Church of
Rome, tou-
ching pe-
nauince.

Confession.

Satisfaction.

This vnsavory and heretiken doctrine of penauince
fare differeth from the true teaching of holyc Scripture.
By the which teaching repentaunce properly conuenient
these thre parties, contrition, sayth, and new life. Contri-
tion is called in Scripture the sorrow of hart, rysing vp
the consideration of sinne committed and of the anger of
God pronounced, which sorow doth dynche a man to Christ
for iuour: whereupon ryseth sayth. Sayth bringeth, af-
terward amendment or newenes of life, whiche we
call newe obedience, working frutes worthy of re-
penauince.

True do-
ctrine of re-
penauince
by the scrip-
ture.

Partes of
repentaunce.
1. Contri-
tion.
2. Sayth.
3. New obe-
dience.

* Difference betwene the law and the Gospell.

As there is nothing more necessary and confortable for
troubled consciences, then to be well instructed in the
difference

The blinde
ignorauice