

Furthermore, to the sense and text of the first conclusi- on, for that they answered not fully and expressely, beyng asked whether God ought any manner of obedience to the Demill or not: they said yea, as the obedience of loue, be- cause he loueth him, and punished him as he ought. And to proue that God ought to obey the demill, they offered themselves to the fire.

To the 11. conclusion, for that they answered not ex- pressly, being asked whither a prelate might excommunicate any man being in the state of grace: said yea.

Unto the 20. conclusion, for that they answered not full- ly, simply, and expressely, being demaunded whether special of generall prayers did most profit, and were of greater force: They would not say but that speciall.

Unto the last conclusion, for that they answered ney- ther simply nor expressely, and being demaunded particu- larly, whether any frier were bounde to get his liuing worth his manuell labour, so that it might not be lawfull for them to liue by begging: They would make no answer at all.

After that, the foresaid Lord Archb. of Cant. demaun- ded of all the foresaid Doctors, what their iudgement was touching the answers that were made vpon all & singu- lar such conclusions. All which doctors and euery of them severally, sayd: y these all the answers geuen vnto the first second, third, and first conclusions (as is before recited) were insufficient, hereticall and subtill, and that all the answers made specially to the tenth, ninth, and last conclusions, as is aboue mentioned: were insufficient, erroneous and per- uerle. whereupon the Lord sayd Archbishop of Canter- bury, considering the sayd answers to be hereticall, sub- til, erroneous and peruerle, accordingly as the said Doc- tors (as is aforesayd) had wayed and considered: admoni- shed the said Nicholas and Phillip sufficiently vnder these soume of wordes.

The name of Christ being called vpon: we William by Gods permission, Archbishop of Canterbury, Metropo- politane of all England, and Legate of the Apostolique see, and through all our prouince of Canterbury, Inquisitor of all heretical prauitie: do sufficiently and lawfully admo- nish and cite you Nicholas Hereford and Phillip Keeping- don professors of Diuinitie, hauing this day and place as- signed you by your own consent and our prescriptio, pre- mptorily to answer and to say, fully and playnely your opi- nions touching these conclusions wherunto we do referre you (all subtill, sophistical, and logicall wordes set apart) being therunto swozne, cited, & commaunded: which thyng to do, without cause reasonable or any licence geuen there- unto, you neither haue bene willing nor are willing, nay rather ye contemptuously refused to answer to some of those conclusions before vs iudicially, according to the ef- fect of our monition, citation and commaundement before sayd. But for that, ye haue answered vnto some of them heretically, and to other some erroneously, although not fully, we admonish and cite you once, twice, and thrice, and that peremptorily: that plainely and fully (all subtill, sophi- sticall and logicall wordes set apart) you and euery of you answer vnto the same conclusions, and vnto that sense and meaning by vs limited: vnder the payne that other wise such conclusions deserue by you counselled, and that for the same conclusions you ought to haue.

which admonition being made and done, for that the foresaid Nicholas and Phillip would make none other answer: The said Lord archbish. of Canterbury conclu- ded that busines, prescribing and assigning vnto the foresaid Nicholas and Phillip 8 dayes space, that is to say, vntill the 27. day of the same month: And that then they shoulde appeare before the sayd Lord Archbishop of Canterbury whersoever within the same his prouince of Canterbury hee shoulde fortune to be, to heare his decree that shoulde be made in that behalfe. This done, the foresaid Archbish. of Canterbury, monished and cited lawfully and suffici- ently, John Asheton vnder the the tenour of these wordes following.

In the name of God: we William by Gods permis- sion, Archb. of Cant. Inimate of all England, Legate of the see Apostolical, and through all our prouince of Cant. of all heretical prauitie chiefe Inquisitor: do monish & cite thee, John Asheton maister of arte, and student in diuinitie ap- pearing before vs, iudicially to say and speake the playne heretic touching these conclusions to the which we doe re- ferre thee: and to the which we haue caused thee to sweare, laying thy hand vpon a booke: as being also other wise by vs admonished and commaunded to keepe this daye and place by vs appointed, for the third time peremptorily, to propone such reasonable canie (if thou hast any) wherefore

thou oughtest not to be pronouced an hereticke. And suf- ficiently and lawfully we monish and cite thee, the first, se- cond and third time, and that peremptorily, that thou, full- ly and playnely (all subtill, sophistical, and logicall wordes set apart) doe answer vnto the same conclusions, vnder the payne that vnto such conclusions belong & on thy part confessed, and that thou for suche conclusions oughtest to suffer. whiche monition, being thus premised: The sayde Archbishop read the first conclusion, and of the sayd John inquired what was his opinion and meaning therein, and hereupon he said his minde concerning the foresayd moni- tion. Then, the foresaid John Asheton being often requi- red by the archbishop that he would answer in the La- tine tongue to those questions which were demaunded of him, because of the lay people that stood about him: he cry- ing out into the English tongue, vttered frimolous and approbrious contumelies to moue and excite the people against the said Archbishop as it should seem. Forther did he vnto the first conclusion nor vnto any of the other con- clusions, effectually and pertinently seeme to them to an- swere: but rather by the subtilties, & shutes, laying oftentimes and as expressely as Luke said, it was sufficient for him to beleue as the holy Church beleued. When the said Arch- bishop examined him vpon the first conclusion touching y Sacrament of the aulter: whether, that after the wordes of consecration, there remaineth materiall bread particuler bread, or vniuersall bread: He sayde the matter passed his understanding, and therfore said: he would in that forme and maner answer and otherwise not. But amongst o- ther things, he spake in deriding wise vnto the said Archb. against this worde Materiall, saying, you may put that in your purse if you haue any. whereupon the said Archb- shop, calling that an vnwise and foolish answer as the rest of the doctors did (of whom mention was made be- fore) rather for that he was a graduat in the schooles, far- ther proceeded against the said John Asheton in this wise.

And thou John Asheton monished and commaunded by vs as is aforesayd, after thine or thaken: without anye reasonable cause or any other other licence, neither wouldest thou nor yet will, but refused and yet doest contemp- tuously, to answer vnto such conclusions before vs, iudici- ally according to our monition & commaundement aforesaid doe hold all such conclusions by thee confessed, & thee the foresaid John with all thy said conclusions, conuicted. And therfore we do pronouce and declare by sentence geuing, that thou John Asheton concerning those conclusions, which by vs with good deliberation of diuers prelates our suffra- ganes, and also diuers and sondy professors of diuinitie, and other wise men and learned in the lawe according to y Canoniall sanctions, being condemned and declared for an hereticke and hereticall: to haue bene and still is, an he- reticke, and thy conclusions hereticall. And as touching thy other conclusions by vs heretofore counted erroneous, and for erroneous condemned: we doe pronouce and declare sententially by these our writings, that both thou hast er- red and doest erre.

Vpon the same 20. day of June in the yeare and place aboue recited: the foresaid Lord of Canterbury being de- sious, as he pretended to be informed by Thomas Hilma bachelor of diuinitie there being present and somewhat fa- uouring the said John Asheton what his iudgement & opinion was touching y foresaid conclusions: prescribed and assigned vnto the said Thomas (for that time demaunding the same deliberation and day) 8. dayes after, that is to say, the 28. of the said month: that he appeare before the Bishop of Cant. whersoever within his said prouince of Canter- bury, he shoulde then happen to be, to declare plainely and fully what his iudgement and opinion was, touching the foresaid conclusions. Ex Regist W. Courtney.

* The names of Friers and Doctors assilent at the examination aforesaid.

Friers Obseruantes, Botleham B. of Nauaton, frier John Langley, William Suard.

Friers of Dominikes order, John Ryngban, John Louey, Peter Stokes, Walter Dill.

Friers Carmelites, Thomas Ashburn, Waukine, Ro- bert walbey.

Doctors and Fryers Augustines, John Barnet, Thomas Backton, John Blanchard, John Shillingford, John Lydford, Thomas Southam.

The Friday next following, that is to say, the 28. day of June: the foresaid Nicholas, Phillip, and Thom. Hil- man, appeared before the said Archb. and lord Inquisitor of Canterbury, in the chappell of his manor of Ostrud, in the 28. day of June, an. 1312.

I. Asheton gene- rally examined.

The Archb. and his Friers, doe see the English tongue for the people.

Whether mate- riall bread re- maine in the sa- crament.

M. Tho. Hilman suspected to be a fauourer of I. Asheton. The 20. day of June.

Dayes geuen to Tho. Hilman to answer.

The iudgement of the doctors vpon these articles.

Admoniti- on and cita- on of the Archb. a- gainst the examinate.

An other day assigned for the exami- nates to appear.

Proesse made by the Archb. a- gainst John Asheton.