of this sedition to the death of John Bus, and of Jerome. but also milleth as muche in the order and computation of the yeres. For neither was fir Roger Acton with his forefaid fellowes alive at the time of the councell, neither both hee agree therein with any of our English wayters, except onely with Hall, who also erreth therein as wide as he.

3. 4. Vatruth.

For the third and fourth untruth I note this, where he addeth and layth, that after this rebellio railed against the king, the layd fir John Oldcastel being there present him= felfe, was taken and prisoned in the tower, and afterward escaped out of the saide tower by night, wherein is contcined a double butruth. For neither was Dir John Dloca= ftle there prefent himfelfe, if we beleue fabian and Cope, Dial.6.pag.833 lin 11. nether yet did he euer cleape out of the Dower after that conspiracie, if ever any such conspiracie

Capus pag. 833. lin II. <. Vntruth.

Bis v. but not the last untruth in Polydoic is this, that he layth, Tho. Arundel to have died in the lame yeare, no= ting the yere to be An. 1415. where as by the true registers he died.An.1413

An other Vntruth in Polydore noted.

To this butruthe an other also may be soyned, where be erring in the computation of the yeres of the laid Tho= mas Arundel Archbilhop of Canterbury, reporteth hym to lit 33. yeares. who was there Archbilhop but onely 18. peres, as is to be fene in the recordes of Canterbury. The wordes of Polyonze be thele: Thomas Arundellius Cantuariensis antistes annum iam tunc sedes tertium & trigesimum e vita excessit lib.22. Ang.hist. All be it in thys 3 doe not areatly contend with 1901ydore, and peraduenture the aduerlary will finde fonce ealie thift for this matter.

The testimony of Hall against the L. Cobham examined.

Butlet be palle now from Polydoze, not (as they lay) out of the hal into the kitchine, but out of the kitchine buto the hall, examining and perpending what fayth Edward Dall an other witnes in this matter: voon whom mailter Cope bindeth to fall, that hee supposeth hys knot is never able to be losed. And moreour to treadeth me downe buder his feete in the dirt (as a man would thinke hym some dirtdaubers sonne) so that the spots thereof he saveth, will neuer be gotten out while the world frandeth, a a day lon= ger. Rotwythstanding I trus 29. Cope that your dirtie penne with your cockish brags hath not so bedaubed and bespotted me, not yet connicted me to be such a depraner of historics, but I hope to spunge it out. At least way, with a little asperges of the Popes holy water, I trust to come to a dealbabor, well enough.

But certes, M. Cope, your maiftership must first bu= derstand, that if yee thinke so to depresse me, and disprone me of butruth in my history, you must go more groudly to worke, and bring against me other authors then Edward Bal: you mult confider (B. Cope) if you will be a corrol= ler in florie matters, it is not enoughe for you to bryng a railing spirit, or a minde disposed to carpe and caull where any matter may be picked, diligence is required, and great fearthing out of bookes and authors not only of our time, but of all ages. And especially where matters of religion are touched pertaining to the church: it is not sufficient to see what Fabian, or what Ball sayth, but the records must he fought, the Remiters must be turned over, letters also and ancient infruments ought to be peruled, and authors worth the same compared, finally the writers among them felues one to be conferred with another. And so with indgement to be waied, with diligence to be labozed, and with limplicatie pure from all addiction and partialitie to

be bttered.

Thus Did Auentinus, thus Did Sleidanus wayte. Thele belies also the eldest and best Bistoricians semed to have, both Titus Liuius, Salustius, Quintus Curtius, and suche lyke, as by their letters and records inferted may wel appeare. The fame helps likewife both in your fabian and in your Edwarde Ball were to be required, but especially in you Mg. Cope) your felfe, whych take oppon you fo cockilhly (rather then wifely) to be a controller and mailter mode= ratour of other mens matters. In which matters (to say the truth) you have no great skil, and lesse experience, ney= ther have you either suche plenty of authors incete for that nurpole, not yet ener transico to learth out the oxigens & groundes of that whereof ye write. But onely contented with fuch as commeth next to hande, or peraduenture receining fuch almole as some of your poze frends bestowe bpon you, think it fufficient if you can alledge fabian and Ball for your purpole.

Pow what purpole & affection herein doth lead you, rather doeth drine you to the carping and barking against the hillory of these good men, y be bence gone and had their puniflyment, all men may fee it to be no fimple finceritie of a mind indifferent, but y zeale only of your feet of Popery, or rather offury, which letteth your railing spirite on fire.

But now out of the fiery kitchin, to come to the hal agains let us fee what matter freth in the tellimony of Edward Ball, to pronothele men to be traytors. And here for fo much (Mailter Cope) as you feeme neither fufficiently acquainted with this your owne mailter and authour Ma= fter Ball, not yet well experienced in the fearthying out of histories, I wil take a little paynes for you, in this behalfe, to certific you concerning the story of this author, wherof percale you your felfe are yet ignozaunt.

Aunswere to Ed. Hall.

The cruth whereof is this, that as the layd Edward Hall, your great matter etettis, was about the compiling of his story, certayne there were which relocted to hymor whom fome were drawers of his petigree & vineat, some were graners, the names of whom were John Bers, and Tyral, which be now both dead. And other there were of the same sodalitie, who veyer aline, e were then in y house of Richard Bratton, both the Printer of the layd booke, & alfo (as is thought) a great helper of the pening of y fame. It so beself that as Ball was entring into the story of Syz John Didcaffle, of Syz Roger Acton, Etheir felowes, the bothe of John Bale touching the flory of the L. Cobbain. was p fame time newly come ouer. which boke was minely coucied by one of his fernates into the fludy of Ball, fothat inturning over his bookes, it must needes come to his handes. At the light whereof, when he saw the groud & reasons in that booke contained, he turned to the authors in the loresayd booke alleaged, whereupon within two nightes after, moned by what cause I know not, but fo it was, that hee taking his pen, raled and cancelled all that he had written before, against Syr John Oldcaffle & his fellowes, & was now ready to go to the Print, con= taining neare to the quantitie of three pages . And leaft (19). Cope you, or any other should thinke me to speake beside my booke, be it therefore knowen both to you and to all other, by these presentes, that the very selfe same first copy of Hall rased and crossed with his owne penne, remaineth in my handes to be thewed & scene, as need that require. The matter which he cancelled out came to this effect. wherein he following the narratio of politoce, he gan with like wordes, to declare how the Sacrametaries here in England, after the death of John Bulle, and Dierome of Prage, being pricked as he fayth, with a bemonis acall fling, first conspired agaynst the Priestes, and after a gainst the king, hauing to their Captaines sir John Dld= caltle the Lord Cobham, and Sp. Roger Acton Knight, with many moe wordes to the like purpose and effect, as Polydoze & other such like Chronicles doe write agaynti him. All which matter notwithkanding, the layd Hall with his penne at the light of John Bales booke, did vis terly extinct and abolish. Addyng in the place thereof the wordes of M. Bales booke, touchying the acculation and condemnation of the fayd Lord Cobbam, before Thomas Arundell Archbyshop of Canterbury, taken out of the letter of the layd Archbythop, as is in his owne flory to be senc. In vita Henr. 5 .pag. 2.lin. 30.

Hal, in Gita Hen. 5. pag. 2.6. lin.30.

Hall raceth

out hisowne ftorie writr€

against the L. Cobha,

And thus Edward Ball your author, renoking & cal= ling backe all that he had beuised before against the Lord Lobham (wherof I have his owne hand to thew, & wit-nes lubstauciall upo the same) in his printed booke recordeth of him no more, but onely theweth & proces between the Archbythop of Caterbury and him for matters of religion. And so ending with Sir John Oldcasse, proceedeth surther to the assemble of sir Roger Acto (whom he falles ly calleth Robert Acto) John Browne, and Beuerley, the narration wherof he handleth in such fort, that he neither agreeth with frecord of other writers, nor yet with truth it felie. For where he excludeth the Lord Cobbam out of that assemble, he discordeth therein from Polidore and o= ther, And where he affirmeth the fact of that conspiracie to be wrought before, or artherif. day of December: that is Votruth in manifestly falle, if the recordes before alledged be true. And Hall noted. where he reporter this allemble to be after the burnong of John Bus, and of Bicrome of Prage, therein he accordeth with Polydore, but not with truth. Porcover, fo doubtfull he is and ambiguous in declaration of this No-

ry, that no great certaintic can be garbered of him. first, as touchying the confession of them, he confesseth himselfe, that he saw it not, a therfore leaueth it at large. And as cocerning the causes of their death, he leaucth the matter in doubt, not daring (as doth M. Cope ) to define Hall doubtor pronouce any thing therof, but onely reciteth the furmi les and myndes of divers men divertly, some thinking it was for coneying the Lord Cobba out of the tower, some that it was for treaton and herefie, and here cometh in the metio oncly of a record, but what record it is neither doth he better it, not doth he examine it: other some againe aftir= ming (as he layth) vit was for fayned caules furmifed by

Hall in the ftorie of the L.Cobham. & fir Roger Acton, a greeth not with other

full in the ftory of fir R.Actó.&c.

Cope a carper of foryes where of he hath no fiill.